

Room acoustics of Conference Halls and Amphitheatres: A Literature Review on Architectural and Engineering Design for Speech Intelligibility

Ali Boulemaredj¹, Dalal Farid², Amel Saifi³, Hadj Ibri⁴, Mohamed Essedik Benotmane⁵

¹ Dr, University of Badji Mokhtar Annaba, UEA Laboratory, Department of Architecture, Annaba, Algeria

² University of Laarbi Ben Mhidi Oum El Bouaghi, LAGED Laboratory, Department of Architecture, Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria

³ Dr, University of 8 mai 1945 Guelma, Department of Architecture, Guelma, Algeria

⁴ Architecte agréé

⁵ Architecte

Abstract. Acoustic quality in conference halls and amphitheatres is essential for clear communication, particularly in educational and professional contexts. This literature review examines key acoustic principles and design strategies that affect speech intelligibility and listener comprehension. Drawing on standards, theoretical models, and documented case studies, the review identifies critical factors such as reverberation time, spatial geometry, surface materials, and electroacoustic support. Emphasis is placed on architectural and engineering solutions that enhance clarity and reduce acoustic distortions. The paper consolidates current knowledge to inform better design and renovation practices for speech-focused spaces.

Keywords: Room acoustics, speech intelligibility, conference hall design, architectural acoustics

1 Introduction

Effective acoustic performance in conference halls and amphitheatres is not a luxury but a necessity. These spaces must facilitate clear verbal communication between presenters and audiences, often across large distances and variable crowd sizes. When poorly designed, they can cause echo, speech masking, listener fatigue, and a breakdown in comprehension. This paper reviews the existing body of literature on the acoustic design of such spaces, with an emphasis on techniques and principles that enhance speech intelligibility. The goal is to consolidate knowledge across architectural and engineering disciplines, identify gaps, and outline best practices for creating acoustically optimized environments.

2 Acoustic Criteria for Speech-Oriented Spaces

2.1 Reverberation Time (RT60)

Reverberation time, or RT60, is the time it takes for sound to decay by 60 dB in a space. Literature consistently emphasizes its critical role in speech intelligibility. Kuttruff (2016) suggests optimal RT60 values between 0.6 to 1.2 seconds for speech clarity in lecture spaces. Excessive reverberation blurs consonants and syllables, while insufficient reverberation may cause speech to sound dry and unnatural (Long, 2014). Recent

studies recommend even shorter RT60 values, around 0.4 seconds, to enhance speech intelligibility in classrooms .

2.2 Speech Transmission Index (STI) and Clarity

The Speech Transmission Index (STI) is a widely accepted metric for quantifying intelligibility, with values ranging from 0 (unintelligible) to 1 (perfect clarity). According to Nilsson & Kleiner (2002), spaces should aim for STI values above 0.6 for effective communication. Related measures like clarity indices (C50 and C80) evaluate the ratio of early to late sound energy, with higher values correlating with better comprehension. Recent research indicates that higher STI values correlate with improved test scores among university students, especially those with lower language proficiency.

3 Architectural Strategies

3.1 Room Geometry

Spatial configuration heavily affects sound distribution. Fan-shaped and semi-circular layouts help direct sound waves toward the audience, while avoiding parallel walls minimizes flutter echoes (Long, 2014). Irregular geometries, if unplanned, may cause uneven sound fields or focusing effects. The "shoebox" design has been historically favored for its acoustic benefits, while the "vineyard" style offers more uniform sound distribution.

3.2 Ceiling and Wall Design

Ceilings that slope or feature sound-reflective panels can enhance early reflections, which are crucial for speech intelligibility (Kuttruff, 2016). Reflective surfaces should be strategically placed to reinforce the direct sound path, especially in large halls. Adjustable acoustic panels and rotatable ceiling reflectors are emerging trends that allow for fine-tuning of the acoustic environment.

3.3 Surface Materials

Material selection determines absorption and diffusion characteristics. Literature consistently advocates for absorptive materials (e.g., mineral wool, perforated panels, acoustic tiles) in rear walls, ceilings, and floors to control excessive reverberation (ANSI S12.60, 2010). Diffusive treatments—such as convex panels or geometric textures—scatter sound evenly and reduce hotspots or acoustic shadows (ISO 3382-1, 2009). Innovative materials like 3D-printed polymers and biodegradable composites are being explored for their acoustic properties and sustainability.

4 Engineering Techniques

4.1 Passive Acoustic Treatment

The combination of absorption and diffusion creates a balanced acoustic environment. Studies highlight the role of hybrid treatments, such as perforated wood with absorbent backing, in maintaining natural sound while controlling reflections (Long, 2014). Recent advancements include the use of microperforated panel absorbers and fiber less absorbers that offer aesthetic flexibility and effective sound control.

4.2. Electroacoustic Support

In spaces where architectural solutions are insufficient, electronic reinforcement can help. Distributed speaker systems with digital delay matching the direct sound path ensure intelligibility across large seating areas (Nilsson & Kleiner, 2002). However, literature cautions against overuse, as artificial amplification can introduce coloration or phase issues if poorly implemented. Modern sound reinforcement systems are increasingly tailored to the specific needs of each amphitheater, with careful speaker placement and calibration ensuring even sound distribution.

5. Review of Standards and Guidelines

Several formal standards provide benchmarks for acoustic performance. The ANSI S12.60 standard outlines design requirements for learning environments, emphasizing controlled RT60 and background noise limits. ISO 3382-1 provides measurement methodologies and acoustic parameter definitions for performance spaces. While these standards are widely referenced, literature points out the need for context-specific

adaptations, especially in multi-use amphitheatres where speech and occasional music must coexist.

6. Discussion

The reviewed literature reveals consensus on the foundational principles of good room acoustics for speech: controlled reverberation, clear early reflections, minimized background noise, and strategic geometry. However, studies also indicate that implementation varies widely across projects, and retrofitting poorly designed spaces often requires complex interventions. There is a gap in applied case studies involving modern multipurpose venues and a need for more empirical evaluation of newer materials and digital systems.

7. Conclusion

Architectural and engineering design play a decisive role in the acoustic quality of conference halls and amphitheatres. This literature review affirms that proper attention to spatial geometry, material selection, and acoustic modeling can dramatically improve speech intelligibility and user experience. Adhering to established standards, while also considering the unique demands of each space, is key to successful design. Future research should focus on in-situ evaluations, hybrid acoustic environments, and dynamic design tools for real-time performance modeling.

References

1. American National Standards Institute. (2010). ANSI S12.60-2010: Acoustical Performance Criteria, Design Requirements, and Guidelines for Schools. ANSI.
2. International Organization for Standardization. (2009). ISO 3382-1: Acoustics – Measurement of room acoustic parameters – Part 1: Performance spaces. ISO.
3. Kuttruff, H. (2016). *Room Acoustics* (6th ed.). CRC Press.
4. Long, M. (2014). *Architectural Acoustics* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
5. Di Loreto, S., Cantarini, M., Squartini, S., Lori, V., Serpilli, F., & Di Perna, C. (2023). Assessment of speech intelligibility in scholar classrooms by measurements and prediction methods. *Building Acoustics*, 30(2), 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1351010X231158190>
6. Inviot. (2024). *Future Trends in Acoustic Multipurpose Hall Design*. Retrieved from <https://inviot.ae/future-trends-in-acoustic-multipurpose-hall-design/>

7. Group GAC. (2024). Innovating for silence: the future of soundproofing. Retrieved from <https://group-gac.com/en/innovation-for-silence-future-soundproofing/>
8. Zap.cool. (2024). How Architecture and Acoustics Merge in Concert Hall Design. Retrieved from <https://www.zap.cool/2024/1/18/how-architecture-and-acoustics-merge-in-concert-hall-design>
9. Garai, M., & Fratoni, G. (2020). Predicting speech intelligibility in university classrooms using geometrical acoustic simulations. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/42495072/Predicting_speech_intelligibility_in_university_classrooms_using_geometrical_acoustic_simulations
10. Boy, G., Çömezoğlu, N., & Sezgin, H. (2023). The Impact of Acoustic Design in Halls. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379190139_THE_IMPACT_OF_ACOUSTIC_DESIGN_IN_HALLS